

Appl. No. 10/731,106  
Reply to Office action of March 28, 2005

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Applicant acknowledges receipt of the Examiner's communication dated March 28, 2005.

In the communication the Examiner requests that the applicant elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims have been restricted, if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently the Examiner identifies the claims 1, 7 and 8 as generic. The Examiner states that there are two species shown in the application, namely Species 1, shown in Figures 1-6, and Species 2, shown in Figure 7.

In response, applicant elects Species 1 as shown in Figures 1-6.

Applicant advises the Examiner that claims 1-8, read on Species 1.

Applicant submits that this is a complete response to the Examiner's communication and that the claims of this application are in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully solicited.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BERESKIN & PARR

By

  
Stephen M. Beney  
Reg. No. 41,563  
Tel: (905) 416-1697